ANATOMY OF ADMINISTRATIVE CASES IN THE JUDICIARY

JENNY LIND R. ALDECOA-DELORINO
Deputy Court Administrator
Supreme Court





PROCEDURES IN ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINTS

SHORT or ABBREVIATED PROCEDURE

- DOCKET AND CLEARANCE DIVISION (DCD)
- Receive the Complaint
- Assign the Complaint an Undocketed Number (UDK)
- Determine if the Complaint is sufficient in Form and Substance





WHEN THE RESPONDENT IS A JUDGE, THE COMPLAINT MUST:

- Be in writing
- Be verified
- State clearly and concisely the facts and omissions constituting violations
- Be supported by affidavits and other documentary evidence





WHEN THE RESPONDENT IS A COURT EMPLOYEE, THE COMPLAINT MUST:

- Be under oath
- Specify the full name and address of the complainant
- Specify the full name, address, position and station of the respondent employee
- Be written in clear, simple, and concise language; enumerate the charges systematically
 - Be supported by documentary evidence

- Return the Complaint if it is insufficient in Form and Substance
- If the Complaint is sufficient in Form and Substance, assign an Informal Preliminary Number (IPI)
- Refer the Complaint to the Administrative Matters and Research Division





- ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS AND RESEARCH DIVISION (AMRD)
- Assess and evaluate the Complaint
- Prepare a draft Agenda Report based on the records at hand
- Submit the draft Agenda Report to the OCA-Legal Office





OCA – LEGAL OFFICE

- Prepare a final Agenda Report or the AMFA (Administrative Matter For Agenda)
- Submit the final Agenda Report or the AMFA to the ACA/DCA concerned and the Court Administrator





- OFFICE OF THE ACA/DCA and COURT ADMINISTRATOR
- Review and approve the AMFA within 60 days
- Indorse the approved AMFA to the Supreme Court for final disposition of the case





FORMAL COMPLAINTS

COMPLAINTS FILED BY LITIGANTS and/or COUNSELS ARISING FROM PENDING CASES

* COMPLAINTS INITIALLY FILED BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF THE OMBUDSMAN





COMPLAINTS INITIATED BY OCA

- * MATTERS REFERRED BY THE CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION, COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, AND THE COURT OF APPEALS
- COMPLAINTS FILED AGAINST JUDGES OR EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE BEEN CHARGED BEFORE, OR CONVICTED BY, THE SANDIGANBAYAN OR THE TRIAL COURTS

COMPLAINTS INITIATED BY OCA

* COMPLAINTS FILED PURSUANT TO A JUDICIAL AUDIT

 COMPLAINTS ARISING FROM ANONYMOUS LETTERS AND OTHER FORMS OF COMMUNICATION





PROCEDURES IN FORMAL COMPLAINTS

CASES FILED BY LITIGANTS and/or COUNSELS
ARISING FROM PENDING CASES and
COMPLAINTS INITIALLY FILED BEFORE THE OFFICE
OF THE OMBUDSMAN

- SHORT or ABBREVIATED PROCEDURE
- * REGULAR or USUAL PROCEDURE





Procedures in Formal Complaints SHORT or ABBREVIATED PROCEDURE

If the respondent is a Judge, the AMFA shall recommend outright dismissal if the:

- Complaint involves purely judicial matters
- Complaint is prematurely filed
- Complaint does not state a cause of action
- Respondent Judge is about to retire or is already separated from the service





Procedures in Formal Complaints SHORT or ABBREVIATED PROCEDURE

JUDICIAL IMMUNITY

- No criminal, civil, or administrative liability for an erroneous decision or order rendered in good faith
- Exception: Administrative liability attaches when there is fraud, dishonesty, malice or bad faith, gross ignorance, or deliberate intent to do an injustice





Procedures in Formal Complaints

SHORT or ABBREVIATED PROCEDURE

If the respondent is a court employee, the AMFA shall recommend outright dismissal if the:

- Complaint does not state a cause of action
- Respondent employee is already separated from the service





Procedures in Formal Complaints

REGULAR or USUAL PROCEDURE

- An Indorsement to file Comment within 10 days is sent to the respondent
- Tracer Letter is sent to the respondent if no Comment is received within 45 days
- Case is evaluated upon receipt of Comment or after 30 days from mailing of Tracer Letter if no Comment is filed





Procedures in Formal Complaints REGULAR or USUAL PROCEDURE

- A draft Agenda Report is prepared based on evaluation of Complaint, Comment, other pleadings and evidence
- Draft Agenda Report shall contain facts, findings and recommendation to re-docket the case to a regular Administrative Matter (A.M.)

- or-

Draft Agenda Report shall recommend referral of the case to an Investigating Officer for envestigation, report and recommendation (IRR)

Procedures in Formal Complaints REGULAR or USUAL PROCEDURE

IF THE RESPONDENT IS:

Judge of RTC – referred to a Court of Appeals Justice

Judge of 1st Level Court – referred to the EJ of the RTC

Employee of multi-sala RTC – referred to the EJ of the RTC





Procedures in Formal Complaints REGULAR OR USUAL PROCEDURE

IF THE RESPONDENT IS:

Employee of single-sala RTC – referred to EJ of the RTC exercising administrative supervision

Employee of multi-sala 1st Level Court – referred to the EJ of the 1st Level Court

Employee of single-sala 1st Level Court – referred to the EJ of 1st Level Court exercising administrative supervision



Procedures in Formal Complaints REGULAR or USUAL PROCEDURE

An Evaluation, Report and Recommendation (ERR) is drafted, reviewed and approved containing findings and recommendations, taking into consideration the IRR

The AMFA, Agenda Report, or ERR is indorsed to the Supreme Court for final case disposal





PROCEDURES IN CASES INITIATED BY THE OFFICE OF THE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

MATTERS REFERRED BY THE CIVIL
SERVICE COMMISSION, COMMISSION
ON HUMAN RIGHTS, DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE and COURT OF APPEALS

Treated as formal complaints and shall follow the regular procedure



Procedures in Cases Initiated by the OCA

COMPLAINTS AGAINST JUDGES and/or EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE BEEN CHARGED BEFORE, or CONVICTED BY, THE SANDIGANBAYAN or TRIAL COURTS

Complaint is assigned a Miscellaneous Docket Number





Procedures in Cases Initiated by the OCA

JUDGE / EMPLOYEE IS ACCUSED OR CONVICTED BY SANDIGANBAYAN OR TRIAL COURT

- Require the accused to Comment on the charges alleged in the Information
- Prepare draft Agenda Report based on the Information and Comment
- Recommend the re-docketing of the miscellaneous case as a regular Administrative Matter (A.M.)





Procedures in Cases Initiated by the OCA JUDGE / EMPLOYEE IS ACCUSED OR CONVICTED BY SANDIGANBAYAN OR TRIAL COURT

- Recommend suspension of the Judge or Employee who is charged with:
- Violation of Anti-Graft and Corrupt Practices Act
- Bribery under the Revised Penal Code
- Non-bailable offenses under the Revised Penal Code or Special Laws
- Other serious offenses as may be determined by the Court Administrator

Procedures in Cases Initiated by the OCA

COMPLAINTS FILED PURSUANT TO A JUDICIAL AUDIT

When a Judicial Audit is conducted:

- Unfavorable reports
- Compulsory retirement
- Optional or disability retirement
- Resignation
- Promotion
- * Transfer
- Directed by the Court Administrator or the Chief Justice





Procedures in Cases Initiated by the OCA COMPLAINTS FILED PURSUANT TO A JUDICIAL AUDIT

- The OCA-Audit Team submits an Audit Report to the ACA/DCA
- > The Audit Report is submitted to the Supreme Court if irregularities discovered during the audit are very serious, with appropriate recommendations for sanctions, including preventive suspension





Procedures in Cases Initiated by the OCA COMPLAINTS FILED PURSUANT TO A JUDICIAL AUDIT

- Issue a Memorandum ordering Judge or employee to comply with the directives in the Audit Report if irregularities are not serious
- If Judge or employee fails to comply, OCA issues a Reminder to the Judge or employee to comply





Procedures in Cases Initiated by the OCA COMPLAINTS FILED PURSUANT TO A JUDICIAL AUDIT

- Issue a Memorandum ordering Judge or employee to explain why no administrative sanctions should be imposed
- If the Judge or employee fails to explain or the explanation is unacceptable, a Memorandum is submitted to the Supreme Court
- Supreme Court issues Resolution imposing sanctions and directing the filing of a formal complaint

Procedures in Cases Initiated by the OCA

COMPLAINTS ARISING FROM ANONYMOUS LETTERS AND OTHER SOURCES

- OCA-Legal Office receives and forwards all anonymous complaints to the ACA / DCA concerned and to the Court Administrator
- ACA/DCA makes preliminary assessment and determines appropriate action





Procedures in Cases Initiated by the OCA ANONYMOUS COMPLAINTS

A Memorandum is issued directing the respondent to Comment on the Complaint

- or -

directing the Executive Judge or the NBI to conduct a discreet investigation

Prepare an Agenda Report recommending the re-docketing of the Anonymous Complaint to a Formal Complaint if the investigation findings are adverse to the respondent

Procedures in Cases Initiated by the OCA ANONYMOUS COMPLAINTS

- Issue a Memorandum directing respondent to Comment on the Anonymous Complaint
- OCA-Legal Office prepares an Agenda Report with findings and recommendations
- ACA/DCA and Court Administrator review and approve the Agenda Report
- Submit a final Agenda Report to the Supreme Court for case disposal





NUMERICAL DATA ON ADMINISTRATIVE CASES

NUMBER OF CASES FILED

1 JANUARY 1986 to 31 AUGUST 2015

	31 Aug. 2015
Against RTC Judges	5,994
Against 1 st Level Court Judges	3,952
Against Trial Court Personnel	6,180
Total	16,126





DISPOSAL OF CASES

1 JANUARY 1986 to 31 AUGUST 2015

_	,			
ACTION TAKEN	RTC JUDGES	1 ST LEVEL COURT JUDGES	PERSONNEL	TOTAL
Dismissal of Complaint	4,392	2,877	3,203	10,472 (64.93%)
Admonition	192	139	294	611 (3.87%)
Forfeiture of Benefits	6	5	54	65 (0.40%)
Censure	14	12	22	48 (0.29%)
Dismissal from Service	80	78	421	579 (3.59%)
Fine	598	504	772	1,874 (11.62%)
Reprimand	110	127	680	917 (5.68%)
Suspension	53	48	631	732 (4.53%)
TOTAL	1053	913	2,874	A SUPERIOR OF THE PROPERTY OF

THANK YOU!





